3

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH**

**AT BILASPUR**

**W. P. NO. 3660 /2005**

**PETITIONERS** :1. Sohara Uraon S/o Late Puncham Uraon, Aged about 45,

2. Gouri D/o Late Puncham Uraon, aged about 40 years,

3. Batu S/o Late Puncham Uraon, aged about 35 years,

4. Bhagwan Das S/o Late Puncham Uraon, aged about 30 years,

5. Budhani Widow of Puncham Uraon, aged about 65 years,

6. Mahato S/o Late Hagra Uraon, Caste - Uraon, Occupation- Agriculture,

All are R/o Village Bheski, P.S. Dhourpur, Tahsil Rajpur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.).

P.R. No. 3762/05 Presented by Shri ... dated 27/8/05

RECEIVED-COPY 02/8/05 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS** :1. Shankar S/o Late Baiju, aged about 35 years,

2. Mangala S/o Late Baiju, aged about 40 years,

3. Ramnath S/o Late Baiju, aged about 30 years,

( 2 )

4. Sampat S/o Late Hagra Uraon, aged about 60 years,

5. Khora S/o Late Rutu, aged about 50 years,

6. Dhani S/o Late Rutu, aged about 45 years,

7. Mani S/o Late Rutu, aged about 40 years,

All are By-Caste Uraon, Occupation-Agriculture, R/o Village Bheski, P.S. Dhourpur, Tahsil Rajpur, Distt. Sarguja (C.G.).

8. Bagarsai S/o Late Nanka, aged about 50 years,

9. Babulal S/o Late Nanka, aged about 40 years,

10. Hiralal S/o Late Nanka, aged about 35 years,

11. Smt. Jatti Widow of Nanka, aged about 70 years,
All are By-Caste Uraon, R/o Village Bheski, P.S. Dhourpur, Tahsil Rajpur, Distt. Sarguja (C.G.).

12. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : The Collector of Surguja
Ambikapur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.).

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

**आदेश पत्रक**

33

**मामला क्रमांक** W.P.3660/ **सन्** 2005

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

**10-8-2005**

Mr. Manoj Mishra, counsel for the petitioners.

Mr. Ravi Ranjan Sinha, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondent No.12.

Heard both the counsel on admission.

The petitioners have preferred this writ petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, questioning the legality, propriety and correctness of order dated 18-7-2005, passed by the 1st Additional Civil Judge, Class-I, Ambikapur, whereby the learned Additional Civil Judge has rejected the application of the petitioners/defendants filed under Order 6 Rule 7 of the C.P.C. for amendment of the written statement.

I have perused the written statement and the proposed amendment. The defence regarding the proposed amendment has already been taken by the petitioners herein in paras 2 & 6 of the written statement.

Therefore, I do not find any substance in this writ petition. The trial Court has not acted with material irregularity or illegality. The petition is liable to be dismissed and it is accordingly, dismissed, at the admission stage itself.

Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3084/2005 & I.A.No.6918/2005 stand disposed of.

**Sd/-**
**L.C. Bhadoo**
**Judge**